

From: owner-bc_curriculum@listserv.bakersfieldcollege.edu on behalf of [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]; [bc_curriculum](#); [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Information to make an informed decision on the fate of HIST B9
Date: Tuesday, October 29, 2019 1:09:50 PM

[REDACTED],

Please don't take this personally. I have repeatedly made the argument that the Brown Act is complex and difficult to understand for non-lawyers.

The e-mail I am responding to appears to violate the Brown Act. It is an address to a majority of the members of the Curriculum Committee about an agenda item before the Curriculum Committee sent outside of agendaized Committee time. It is not available to the public.

Also, [REDACTED] making comparable courses available to a Curriculum Committee member outside of a Curriculum Committee meeting is a likely Brown Act violation performed after a cure-and-correct letter was received for prior potential violations. This appears to be a paradigmatic hub-spoke violation.

While I would love to engage the discussion about its contents during the next Curriculum Committee, not being able to do so now, while arguments are made outside of the public view, doing so would almost certainly involve me in a Brown Act violation. I will not.

I ask the co-chairs and the President of the Academic Senate to cure-and-correct by removing HIST B9 from the agenda yet again until such time as the Curriculum Committee receives formal training in the Brown Act from a qualified legal expert.

[REDACTED]

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10