

District Consultation Council 9.22.15  
Report prepared by Steven Holmes, Academic Senate President  
Presented to College Council October 2, 2015

Updates:

1. Board Policy 6 B-H: CCLC Consultant, Jane Wright, to review all aspects of BP 6A-H and make recommendation. Expected to take till November, early December. Although listed as 6B-H, I did confirm at the meeting with Abe Ali, Ms. Wright would be reviewing and recommending language on all of BP 6.
2. Management Schedule: Not an agenda item, I had to request an update. Consultant Martin Kenny has been retained to conduct a differential pay analysis. A questionnaire on position duties and responsibilities will be developed and distributed. Analysis will then be conducted. This is expected to take a couple of months, with a full report to be issued at the December Board of Trustee meeting. Since the BOT did approve the implementation of the proposal, ½ of the market adjustment will begin October 1. Each employee will receive a staff placement letter. There was some concern on the capability of Banner to be able to accommodate only ½ of salary increase.
3. Budget Allocation Model: Tom Burke would like to schedule the first meeting in October. He is still waiting for 5 representatives to be identified ( CSEA – PC, CSEA – CC, SGA – PC, SGA – BC, and CCA)
4. District Office Unit Reviews: Tom Burke reported, Chancellor Serrano is currently purging any personal references made within the reviews. According to Burke, the reviews were used for the Vice Chancellor’s evaluations. Once all personal references are removed, the service unit reviews will be shared.
5. Academic Calendar: Betty Inclan will distribute the proposed AY 2017-18 for Senate consideration.
6. KCCD Decision Making Chart: 3 proposals were presented (PC, BC, DO). PC’s proposals attempts to alter the composition of District Consultation, reducing its membership size by creating only 1 representative from the constituency groups. The 1 representative from each constituency group would rotate annually from each college. Thus, there would be only 1 Academic Senate President on DCC each year.
7. Board Policy 4A: Three sections reviewed: 4A1, 4A5, and 4A7. Consensus on all three.
8. Board Policy 4B8: Consensus.
9. Board Policy 4C6: Discussion regarding GPA for dismissal being lowered to 1.75. Consensus to not accept proposal and return to current BP language and GPA of 2.0.
10. Board Policy 4F: Ten sections of BP 4F were reviewed: 4F, 4F2, 4F3, 4F4, 4F6, 4F7, 4F8, 4F10, 4F11 and 4F12. Consensus on 4F, 4F2, 4F3, 4F4, 4F6, 4F10, 4F11, 4F12. Discussion focused on: 4F7 - whether advisors need to be faculty; and 4F8D3 - proposed language too vague and should stay with current BP language.
11. Board Policy 4J: Consensus.